#### DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 058 821 HE 002 742

TITLE Employment of New Ph.D.'s and Postdoctorals in 1971.

A Survey Report.

INSTITUTION National Academy of Sciences - National Research

Council, Washington, D.C.

REPORT NO OSP-MS-5
PUB DATE Aug 71
NOTE 31p.

EDRS PRICE MF-\$0.65 HC-\$3.29

DESCRIPTORS \*Doctoral Degrees; Engineers; \*Graduate Study;

\*Higher Education; \*Job Market; Science Careers;

\*Unemployment

#### **ABSTRACT**

This report summarizes the results of a survey of doctoral departments in U.S. universities in the winter of 1970-71. The study was conducted to determine the current employment status of recent recipients of the doctorate and postdoctoral degrees in the fields of science and engineering. In addition to tabulated data from the survey, the report contains background data from the Doctorate Records File that indicates long-term trends in the specified fields. The evidence of the survey shows that it was more difficult for the doctorate recipients and postdoctorals of 1970 to obtain satisfactory jobs than for those of 1969. Both underemployment and unemployment essentially doubled from 1969 to 1970. Translated into numbers of individuals on a national basis, it is estimated that about 225 Ph.D.'s of 1970 in the survey fields held jobs that did not utilize their graduate training and about 285 were unemployed. (HS)

# EMPLOYMENT OF NEW PhD's AND POSTDOCTORALS IN 1971

NATIONAL RESEARCH COUNCIL

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH,
EDUCATION & WELFARE
OFFICE OF EDUCATION
THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRO.
DUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM
INATING IT POINTS OF VIEW OR OPIN.
REPRESENT OFFICIAL OFFICE OF EDU.
CATION POSITION OR POLICY.

## EMPLOYMENT OF NEW PhD's AND POSTDOCTORALS IN 1971

A SURVEY REPORT

Prepared in the Manpower Studies Branch

Office of Scientific Personnel National Research Council Washington, D.C.

> OSP-MS-5 August 1971



#### **HIGHLIGHTS**

• The chairmen of 2,364 U.S. PhD-granting departments (75% of the total) in the natural and social sciences, mathematics, and engineering reported the employment status of their doctorate recipients of academic years 1969 and 1970. Chairmen with postdoctoral appointees also furnished information on the employment of those who were in residence in academic years 1969, 1970, and 1971.

• Employment status in mid-winter 1971 of PhD's of academic year 1970:

Received a postdoctoral appointment	14.3%
Employed in appropriate work in U.S.	70.2
Left the U.S.	8.3
Employed in positions which did not	
utilize graduate training	1.2
Unemployed (includes those seeking	
and those not seeking employment)	1.6
Serving in military, or other status	0.6
Employment status unknown	3.8

• Employment status in mid-winter 1971 of PhD's who had been in postdoctoral training in academic year 1970. (Excludes tiese who were still holding the postdoctoral appointment.)

Took another postdoctoral appointment	14.0%
Employed by academic institutions	52.9
Employed by nonacademic institutions	18.8
Employed in inappropriate position	0.9
Unemployed (includes those seeking	
and those not seeking employment)	2.2
Employment status unknown	11.2

• Differences exist among fields of specialization. The 1970 PhD's and postdoctorals in mathematics and geosciences had the lowest unemployment rate and physicists had the highest -- 2.9% of the PhD's and 3.3% of the postdoctorals.



#### **PREFACE**

This report summarizes the results of a survey of doctoral departments in U.S. universities in the winter of 1970-71 to determine the current employment status of recent recipients of the doctorate and of immediate postdoctorals in the sciences and engineering. It does not attempt to discuss the employment situation of older doctoral scientists and engineers. In addition to tabulated data from the survey, the report contains background data from the Doctorate Records File to indicate long-term trends in these fields. The text deals with the significance of the findings and indicates where the reader must be especially cautious in interpreting the results.

The 1970-71 survey is the second such study conducted by the National Research Council with the cooperation of the chairmen of doctoral departments and the graduate deans. Other surveys will be made in the future to provide current data on the utilization of those who have just emerged from the long and demanding process of graduate education.

The situations of new PhD's are only a part of the story, but one to which this office was able to address itself readily. Unemployment among older doctoral scientists and engineers seems to be more prevalent and persistent than that among recent recipients of the doctorate. Studies conducted elsewhere are beginning to throw light on the problems facing older people who have lost their jobs. Such studies, together with this one, promise to make available more useful and more comprehensive data about the total situation.

We are grateful to the departmental chairmen who responded so well to our requests for not inconsiderable amounts of information. Doctorate recipients themselves and the graduate deans were also of great assistance in providing data reported here.

We thank the National Science Foundation for supporting the analysis of data from the departmental survey. The Survey of Earned Doctorates is supported jointly by the National Science Foundation, the U.S. Office of Education, the National Endowment for the Humanities, and the National Enstitutes of Health.



Lindsey R. Harmon, Director of Research in the Office of Scientific Personnel, and Clarebeth Maguire, Coordinator of Manpower Studies, were responsible for planning and carrying out the survey and for the analyses of data. Herbert Soldz, Doris Rogowski, and many other members of the staff also contributed greatly to the completion of this task.

Inquiries about the survey and suggestions for modifications in the future will be welcomed. They should be directed to Dr. Harmon.

August 1, 1971

William C. Kelly Director, Office of Scientific Personnel

#### INTRODUCTION

Academic year 1969-70 witnessed the granting of the largest number of doctoral degrees in the history of the United States: a total of 29,436 earned doctorates, up 14% from the preceding year. Graduate education was amply fulfilling national goals set with great urgency in the early 1960's. The year 1969-70 also saw a tightening of the job market for new PhD's. Both unemployment and underemployment were present within this group, which had perennially been too small for national needs. Although inability to obtain satisfactory jobs was limited to a small percentage of recent doctorate recipients, concern increased about the possibility of future imbalance in supply and demand.

The present report, based on surveys by the National Research Council, brings up to date an earlier report 2 about the employment of recent recipients of the doctorate and extends it to the employment of former postdoctoral appointees. Postdoctorals were included because of apprehension that many of them might be retained in their appointments in a "holding pattern" because of lack of employment opportunities. The surveys emphasized the fields of science and engineering, but data were also collected from recipients of doctorates in the arts and humanities. We did not attempt to gather information about the employment of older scientists and engineers, and we must emphasize that throughout this report the from the same to do only with recent recipients of the doctorate.

Questions the survey sought to answer were, for example: what percentage of the doctorate recipients of 1969 and 1970 in the sciences and engineering were unemployed and what percentage were employed in jobs that did not sufficiently utilize their graduate education? What percentage of postdoctoral appointees were being retained in their graduate or postdoctoral departments because of lack of opportunity elsewhere? How had foreign students been affected by recent changes in the employment situation? This report will attempt to provide answers to these and related questions within the limits imposed by the survey techniques used.



#### DEPARTMENTAL SURVEY OF DOCTORAL EMPLOYMENT

On 11 December 1970 questionnaires were mailed to the chairmen of 3145 academic doctoral departments in the United States in the physical and biological sciences, mathematics, engineering, and the social and behavioral sciences. Although the questionnaire required almost five times as much information for its completion as that used in the previous year, it was completed and returned by 2364 departments——a return rate of 75.2%——by March 8, 1971. Together, these departments accounted for 70.8% of the total number of doctorates awarded in 1970 in the fields surveyed.

The responding departments were judged to be representative of the national population. The response was analyzed by field and institutional size (based on total numbers of doctorates awarded). The characteristics of the responding group were also compared with those of a larger sample of the same national population. Random response variations were found, but no evidence of significant bias.

Several checks were made on the reliability of the reporting. paring the reporting last year of an unambiguous datum (the number of PhD's granted by the department) with that of the same datum this year, we found that the departments that responded both years gave replies that correlated with each other quite satisfactorily: the overall correlation coefficient was 0.96. On the other hand, questions with a more subjective element in them---those having to do with "inappropriate" employment or the purposes of a postdoctoral appointment, for example --- were shown by a small-sample follow-up by telephone and by the comments of respondents to have elicited less reliable replies. Part of the difficulty can be traced to limitations in the questionnaire used and part to limitations in the information-collecting process within the departmental offices. The effect was not to invalidate the results --- the questionnaires were completed conscientiously, and apparent ambiguities were resolved by further queries to the departments --- but to necessitate caution in interpreting the more highly disaggregated statistics. More detailed information on the representativeness and reliability of the results is available from the Office of Scientific Personnel.

To find out about the current employment status (as of mid-winter 1970-71) of new PhD's (or equivalent), the departments were asked first



3

to report the total number of their doctoral graduates in each of the academic years 1968-69 and 1969-70 and then to distribute each of these groups among the following mutually exclusive categories: (a) currently employed in the United States in activities that appropriately utilize their graduate training; (b) in military service; (c) employed in the U.S. in positions that do not utilize their graduate training; (d) have left the United States for other than postdoctoral training; (e) are unemployed and not seeking employment; (f) are unemployed and seeking employment; (g) are in postdoctoral training; and (h) present status unknown. "Appropriate" was defined as including a faculty or research-staff appointment in any university, college, or junior college, a research or research administration position in industry, government, or elsewhere, or any professional position which was the deliberate choice of the graduate.

Across all fields of science and engineering and institutions of all sizes, the department chairmen reported that 9.7% of the PhD's of 1969 (that is, academic year 1968-69), and 14.3% of the PhD's of 1970 (academic year 1969-70), were in postdoctoral training in the midwinter of 1970-71 (Table 1). As in our previous report, we remind the reader that the greater percentage in postdoctoral work in 1970 than in 1969 is due to both the effect of two-year tenures of some 1969 graduates and the effect of increases in numbers of immediate postdoctorals. Of the 1969 graduates, 75.3%, and of the 1970 graduates, 70.2% were employed in civilian positions in the United States in work that was appropriate to their graduate training. Of the 1969 graduates, 7.9% had left the United States, as had 8.3% of the 1970 graduates. Of the 1969 graduates, about 0.7% were employed in jobs that did not utilize their graduate training, as were 1.2% of the 1970 graduates. About ½ of 1% of the graduates of each year were unemployed and not seeking employment, while 0.5% of the 1969 graduates and 1.1% of the 1970 graduates were unemployed and seeking jobs. Altogether, in the categories of unemployment and underemployment, there were 1.5% of the 1969 graduates and 2.8% of the 1970 PhD's. About ½ of 1% of each year's graduates were in military service (some having been sent to graduate school by their military departments). In addition to all these categories of reported training, employment, and unemployment, the chairmen reported that the status of 5.1% of the 1969 graduates and 3.8% of the 1970 graduates was unknown.

Table 1 provides percentages and numbers by field. The right-hand



Table 1

Percentages and Numbers of PhD Graduates of Academic Years 1968-69 and 1969-70 in Various Categories of Activity, Midwinter 1970-71, by Field

Field of Special- ization	Aca- demic Year		Postdoc Train- ing	U.S Empl., Approp Work	Left U.S.	Skills Not Uti- lized		loyed Seek- ing	Other- Mil. Svc., etc.	Un- known	Sur- vey Total	Doctorate Total (% in Survey)
Mathematics	69	N %	9 1.0	744 86.4	65 7.5	5 0.6	2 0.2	1 0.1	2 0.2	33 3.8	861	1063 81.0
	70	N %	20 2.0	848 85.6	81 8.2	9 0.9	5 0.5	7 0.7	1 0.1	20 2.0	991	1218 81.4
Physics	69	N %	147 13.3	795 72.0	81 7.3	12 1.1	0.3	13 1.2	8 0.8	45 4.1	1104	1452 76.0
	70	N %	228 17.7	823 63.9	103 8.0	27 2.1	8 0.6	30 2.3	17 1.3	51 4.0	1287	1657 77:7
Chemistry	69	N %	338 21.4	1067 67.4	60 3.8	8 0.5	7 0.4	14 0.9	12 0.7	77 4.9	1583	1947 81.3
	70	N %	507 29.4	1007 58.3	86 5.0	23 1.3	5 0.3	22 1.3	11 0.6	66 3.8	1727	2223 77.7
Geosciences	69	N %	19 5.1	295 79.9	39 10. ა	5 1.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	11 3.0	369	502 73.5
	70	N %	35 8.5	316 77.1	36 8.8	1.7	1 0.2	.? 0.5	3 0.8	10 2.4	410	509 80.6
Engineering	69	N %	44	2074 81.8	182 7.2	16 0.6	3	7 0.3	13 0.5	196 7.7	2535	3234 78.4
	70	N %	116 4.2	2185 79.6	244 8.9	30 1.1	3 0.1	39 1.4	12 0.5	116 4.2	2745	3432 80.0
Total,	69	N %	557 8.6	4975 77.1	427 6.6	46 0.7	15 0.2	35 0.5	35 0.5	362 5.6	6452	8198 78.7
EMP Fields	70	N %	906 12.7	5179 72.3	550 7.7	96 1.3	22 0.3	100 1.4	44 0.6	263 3.7	7160	9039 79.2
Biosciences	69	N %	531 19.8	1688 63.0	286 10.7	25 0.9	24 0.9	6 0.2	16 0.6	103 3.8	2679	4116 65.1
	70	N %	859 29.4	1542 52.8	283 9.7	41 1.4	26 0.9	23 0.8	30 1.0	118 4.0	2922	4564 64.0
Psychology	69	N %	38 3.7	891 86.8	35 3.4	0.4	0.6	10 1.0	0.1	41 4.0	1026	1728 59.4
	70	N %	67 6.1	939 85.0	31 2.8	6 0.5	8 0.7	17 1.5	0.3	33 3.0	1105	1883 58.7
Other Social	. 69	N %	5 0.3	1254 81.2	172 11.1	0.3	0.3	4 0.3	6 0.4	96 6.2	1545	2296 67.3
Sciences	70	N %	14	1409 81.1	204 11.7	16 0.9	5 0.3	3 0.2	10 0.6	76 4.4	1737	2766 62.8
Total,	69	N %	1131 9.7	8808 75.3	920 7.9	79 0.7	49 0.4	55 0.5	58 0.5	602 5.1	11702	16338 71.6
All Sciences	70	N %	1846 14.2	9069 70.2	1068 8.3	159	61 0.5	143 1.1	88	490 3.8	12924	18 <b>2</b> 52 70.8
		<i>7</i> 6		7012		↓ <u>*:"</u>			1		<u> </u>	

Table 2

Percentages of 1969 and 1970 PhD's in Various Employment Categories in 1971,

by Institution Size\*, in Three General Fields

										_	
Category of		<b>10</b> La	rgest	Next		Next			ing 160	Total,	
Employment	Field	Univer		Univer	sities_	Univer		Univer			sities
or Activity	Group	1969	1970	1969	1970	1969	1970	1969	1970	1969	1970
					4.5	<b></b> -	-, -	03 -	7/. 3	77.1	72.3
Appropriate	EM5	<b>72.</b> 7	71.0	74.8	69.0	79.5	74.3	81.1	74.3	63.0	72.3 52.8
Employment	Bioscncs.	60.2	48.6	60.8	52.6	63.1	53.8	67.3	55.0 86.0	83.4	82.6
in	Psy. & S.S.	84.4	81.3	76. <b>7</b>	78.3	86.1	84.5	86.1 78.6	71.6	75.3	70.2
USA	Total	72.8	68.7	72.7	68.0	76.7	7 <b>1.7</b>	/0.0	/ .L + U	,,,,	
	71.00		Λ.	0.6	1.0	0.9	0.4	0.3	0.6	0.5	0.6
	EMP	0.2	0.5 0.6	0.6 0.6	0.2	0.9	1.0	0.5	0.9	0.5	0.8
Military	Bioscncs.	0.3	0.6	0.6	0.2	0.6	0.7	0.2	0.6	0.3	0.5
Service	Psy. & S.S.	0.2	0.6	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.6	0.3	0.6	0.5	0.6
	Total	0.2	U.0	U.3	J. U	<b>U.</b> /			_ <del>-</del>		
Moule Bee-	EMP	0.9	0.8	0.6	0.9	0.5	1.5	0.9	2.2	0.7	1.3
Work Does	EMP Bioscnes.	0.9	0.9	1.4	0.4	0.6	1.7	1.1	2.1	0.9	1.4
Not Utilize	Psy. & S.S.	0.9	0.3	0.5	0.6	0.1	1.5	0.7	0.2	0.3	0.8
Graduate Training	rsy. & S.S. Total	0.2	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.4	1.5	0.9	1.8	0.7	1.2
TTGTHINE	TOTAL	J. /		/		•					_
Left	EMP	8.8	<b>10.</b> 7	6.3	8.1	6.1	6.5	5.3	5.8	6.6	7.7
the the	Bioscnes.	11.1	11.5	10.4	12.7	11.9	8.3	8.7	8.0	10.7	9.7
United	Psy. & S.S.	8.1	10.4	11.9	12.1	7.3	6.5	4.1	4.2	8.1	8.3
States	Total	9.1	10.8	8.4	9.9	7.9	6.9	5.9	6.1	7.9	8.3
	<del></del>	<b>-</b>	. =					_			^ ^
Unemployed	EMP	0.4	0.6	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.3
and NOT	Bioscncs.	0.5	1.3	0.6	0:4	1.3	1.1	0.8	0.7	0.9	0.9
	Psy. & S. S.	0.3	0.6	0.8	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.2	1.0	0.4	0.5
Work	Total	0.4	0.7	0.4	0.1	0.5	0.5	0.4	0.5	0.4	0.5
				= /	<b></b> .	<b>.</b> -		Α -	1.7	0.5	1.4
Unemployed	EMP	0.4	1.2	0.4	1.4	0.7	1.3	0.7		0.3	0.8
and	Bioscncs.	0.5	0.9	0.2	0.6	0.2	0.9	0.0	0.7 1.0	0.2	0.8
Seeking	Psy. & S. S.		0.1	1.0	2.1	0.1	0.1	0.7 Q.5	1.0	0.5	1.1
Work	Total	0.5	0.9	0.5	1.4	0.4	0.9	<b>U.</b> 3	1.3	<del></del>	
_	***		10.0	10.1	14.4	8.4	12.3	8.5	11.9	8.6	12.7
In	EMP	7.6	12.2	10.1 23.1	14.4 30.8	8.4 18.1	28.5	18.8	28.8	19.8	29.4
Postdoctoral		21.1	30.3	0.8	2.6	2.2	3.6	2.7	4.0	1.7	2.9
Training	Psy. & S. S.		1.1 13.4	10.4	14.9	9.5	14.2	10.0	14.7	9.7	14.3
in U. S.	Total	8.9	13.4	10.4	<b>_</b> ,	, , ,	_ + + •		•	- <b>- •</b>	
	EMP	9.0	3.0	7.0	5.2	3.8	3.5	2.8	3.3	5.6	3.7
C+-+	EMP Bioscncs.	5.4	5.8	2.6	2.1	4.2	4.3	2.7	3.5	3.8	4.0
Status Unknown	Psy. & S. S.		5.6	8.3	4.2	3.4	2.8	5.2	3.1	5.3	3.8
UNCHOWII	Total	7.4	4.2	6.5	4.3	3.8	3.5	3.2	3.3	5.1	3.8
	TARAT					_					

\*Measured by total PhD output across all fields.



6

column gives the number of PhD's granted in each field, by year, for all institutions combined, as recorded in the Doctorate Records File of the Office of Scientific Personnel. This file, derived from the Survey of Earned Doctorates, which is discussed below, is complete (having 99+% coverage of all fields and institutions), and thus affords data on the extent of coverage of other surveys, such as the current one. The percentage of PhD's included in the present survey of employment is recorded below the Doctorate Records number in Table 1 for each field. When the institutions of the graduating PhD's are sorted by size, measured by total PhD output across all fields, some differences appear in the above categories, but the differences are rather small and unreliable.

Table 2 provides data by size of institution in three general field groups: EMP fields (engineering-mathematics-physical sciences), bioscience fields, and all social sciences combined, including psychology. Both 1969 and 1970 PhD's show different employment patterns related to institution size. "Appropriate employment" is recorded somewhat more often for the smaller institutions for graduates of both years, in the physical and biological sciences. In the social sciences the trend is in the same direction, but not so clearcut. Military service is not systematically related to institution size, nor is unemployment, either for those who are seeking work or those who are not. There is a hint of a negative relationship of institution size to underemployment, i.e. that which does not utilize skills acquired in graduate school, chiefly in the 1970 graduates in the natural sciences. That is, the smaller schools somewhat more often reported inappropriate employment, up to 2.2% in the EMP fields in 1970 for graduates of the 160 smallest institutions.

Postdoctoral training is unrelated to institution size except in the social sciences, where it is the smaller institutions which send the largest proportion for further training. But even for these institutions, only 4% or fewer of the social science graduates engage in postdoctoral training, as compared with 29% in the biosciences.

Leaving the United States after the PhD is more characteristic of the graduates of the larger institutions, largely because they have a larger proportion of foreign students, as indicated by the following table.

Percentage of Foreign Students Among Science Doctorates, by Institution-Size\* Category

Institution Size	1969	1970
10 Largest	17.8	21.3
Next 15	18.0	18.8
Next 45	15.3	15.3
Remaining 160	15.6	16.1
Total, All Institutions	16.5	17.6

\*Measured by total PhD output

Analysis by citizenship (Table 3) indicates that about 2.1% of U.S. citizens and 36.9% of foreign citizens among the PhD's of 1969 and 1970 combined left the United States after receiving the doctorate, for reasons other than postdoctoral study. This percentage varies somewhat by field, being higher in the biosciences and social sciences, lower in psychology and the physical sciences. The percentage going abroad is of course negatively correlated with the percentage reported to be in suitable jobs in the United States. For 1969, the U.S.- and foreign-citizen percentages in suitable jobs are, respectively, 81.4% and 44.6%; for 1970 the percentages are 76.3% and 41.6%. The percentages in postdoctoral training are rather similar: of 1969 graduates, 9.9% of U.S. citizens and 8.7% of foreign citizens are in postdoctoral training; for 1970 the percentages are 14.4% and 13.5% for U.S. and foreign citizens, respectively. With the exception of the EMP fields in 1970, the percentage of U.S. citizens in postdoctoral training is always higher than that of foreign citizens.

Unemployment and employment in work that does not utilize skills acquired in graduate school are generally greater for foreign citizens than for U.S. citizens. This is not uniformly true, as the small numbers make for statistical unreliability. Combining under employed and unemployed, the data for 1969 are 1.5% for U.S. citizens and 1.8% for foreign citizens. Among the 1970 graduates, the percentages are greater for both groups: 2.6% for U.S. citizens and 3.8% for foreign citizens. As expected, the "unknown" status rate is lower for U.S. than for foreign citizens: 4.7% vs. 7.5% for the 1969 graduates and 3.6% vs. 4.7% for the 1970 graduates.

Percentage Distribution, 1971 Activity of 1969 and 1970 Graduates, by Citizenship, by Gross Field Categories

Table 3

1071	Citizen-		1060	Graduates			1970	Graduates	
1971 Activity	ship	EMP	Bio	Soc. Sci.	Total	EMP	Bio	Soc. Sci.	Total
Postdoctoral		8.8	20.8	1.8	9.9	12.4	30.9	3.0	14.4
Training	Foreign	8.0	15.3	0.4	8.7	<b>13.</b> 6	22.1	1.6	13.5
Appropriate	U.S.	82.8	<b>69.</b> 8	89.0	81.4	78.4	58.7	88.5	76 <b>.3</b>
Jobs in USA	Foreign	51.8	31.0	36.7	44.6	47.6	23.7	42.5	41.6
Employed in	U.S.	0.6	1.0	0.4	0.6	1.3	1.2	0.8	1.2
Inapprop- riate work	Foreign	1.3	0.4	0.0	0.9	1.3	2.6	0.8	1.5
Unemployed,	U.S.	0.7	1.1	1.0	0.9	1.4	1.6	1.2	1.4
Total	Foreign	0.8	1.3	0.4	0.9	2.7	2.0	0.8	2.3
Left U.S.	U.S.	1.6	2.5	2.4	2.0	2.0	2.7	2.3	2.2
	Foreign	28 <b>.8</b>	48.8	54.9	37.4	30.5	44.2	48.5	36.4
All Other	U.S.	0.7	0.8	0.3	0.5	1.0	1.1	0.6	0.9
	Foreign	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0
Unknown	U.S.	4.8	4.0	5.1	4.7	3.5	3.8	3.6	3.6
	Foreign	9.2	3.2	7.6	7.5	4.2	5.3	5.8	4.7
Total	U.S.	5,262	2,208	2,296	9,766	5,740	2,429	2,477	10,646
Number in Sample	Foreign	1,190	471	275	1,936	1,420	493	365 	2,278

9

### Background Data on Immediate Post-PhD Activity from the Survey of Earned Doctorates

Background information with which these statistics may be compared and put into historical perspective is provided by the Survey of Earned Doctorates. It furnishes a different point of view---that of the doctorate recipient himself rather than that of the department chairman. Coverage of each annual increment to the doctoral population is almost 100%. Analysis of the results of the Doctorate Survey for the period 1958-1970 shows some definite trends in post-graduation plans. Differences among the several fields of specialization stand out quite starkly. Figure 1 shows these data for four general field groups: EMP fields, biosciences, social sciences, and the humanities-arts-professions group.

In the EMP fields, definite pre-graduation commitments to regular employment have been falling more or less steadily for over a decade. In the biosciences, this trend is even steeper. The category "job prospects not firm" includes those graduates who were seeking employment, or negotiating with employers, but who had not made a definite commitment at the time of completion of the Doctorate Survey questionnaire. (The modal time for completing the questionnaire is spring of the aca-The percentage in this category has increased slightly in the physical sciences and decreased slightly in the biosciences over the period shown. The major change shown is in the category of postdoctoral training. In the bioscience fields, this percentage has increased steadily for 13 years, until it now has reached 38% of all graduates. In the physical sciences, there was an increase for the 1958-1967 period, then a constriction in 1968, followed by a sharp expansion in the last two years. Opinions from various sources suggest that this expansion is more in the nature of a "holding operation" for those having difficulty finding regular employment than an expression of a stronger commitment to further training.


In all four fields, the degree of uncertainty as to post-graduation plans has remained relatively constant over the 13-year period, but the degree of uncertainty varies significantly among the four fields. It is greatest in the humanities-arts-professions group and least in the natural sciences.





11


Figure 1

EXPECTED POST-GRADUATE ACTIVITY, BY FIELD, 1958-1970









#### Postdoctorals

Returning to the results of the departmental survey, we next consider the situations of the postdoctoral appointees. The postdoctoral was defined as one holding an "appointment of a temporary nature at the postdoctoral level...intended to offer an opportunity for continued education and experience in research, usually, though not necessarily, under the supervision of a senior mentor. The appointee may have a research doctorate (e.g. PhD, ScD) or professional doctorate (e.g. MD, DVM) or other qualifications which are considered equivalent in the circumstances. A person may have more than one postdoctoral appointment during his career." The definition is the one used earlier in the Study of Postdoctoral Education in the United States.

A total of 6452 postdoctorals were reported in residence in 1969 in all departments in the survey. This number increased by 13.7%, to 7339 in 1970, but decreased in the present academic year by 1.9% to a total of 7197 postdoctorals (Table 4). In academic year 1969, the 10 largest universities (the first 10 in rank order of total PhD output) had 24.5% of all postdoctorals. This fell to 22.3% in academic year 1970, and to 21.9% in the present academic year. Universities in the second tier (the next 15) expanded their appointment of postdoctorals from 26.1% of the U.S. total in 1969 to 27.9% in 1970, but dropped back to 26.0% this year. The third-tier institutions (the next 45) appointed 28.4% of the postdoctorals in 1969, 29.0% in 1970, and 30.1% in the current year. The remaining 160 institutions accounted for 21.0% of all postdoctorals in 1969, 20.8% in 1970, and 21.9% in the current year. The picture is one of a gradual shift, the largest universities showing a relative drop, and the smaller ones a relative gain in percentage of all postdoctorals.

The postdoctoral picture was not the same for U.S. and foreign citizens, however. Last year saw an increase of 17.6% over the previous year for U.S. citizens, and this year a further slight increase of 1.8%. For foreign postdoctorals, however, last year's increase was only 9.3%, while this year showed a drop of 6.5%. The percentage of foreign citizens among the postdoctorals thus decreased from 46.9% in 1969 to 45.1% in 1970 and to 43.0% in academic year 1971, as these diminishing opportunities were allotted differentially in a

Table 4

Postdoctorals at Responding Institutions, by Citizensh.p, Institution Size\*, and Academic Year

	Ac	Academic Year	Year	1969		A	Academic Year	Year	1970		Ac	Academic <u>Year</u> 1971	: Year	1971	
Citizenship Category	10 Largest	Next 15	Next 45	Rеш. 160	Total	10 Largest	Next 15	Next 45	Rem. 160	Total	10 Largest	Next Next	Next 45	Rem. 160	Total
U.S. Citizens N	817	176	966	665	3425	868	1200	1160	171	4029	988	1089	1265	861	4101
% by Institution Size	23.9	27.6	29.1	19.4	19.4 100.0	22.3	29.8	28.8	19.1	19.1 100.0	21.6	26.6	26.6 30.8	21.0	100.0
% of All Postdoctorals	51.7	56.3	54.2	49.1	53.1	54.8	58.7	54.6	50.4	54.9	56.1	58.2	58.3	54.6	57.0
Foreign Citizens N	764	736	840	687	3027	740	845	996	759	3310	693	783	904	716	3096
% by Institution Size	25.2	24.3	27.8	22.7	22.7 100.0	22.4	25.5	29.5	22.9	100.0	22.4	25.3	29.2	23.1	23.1 100.0
% of All Postdoctorals	48.3	43.7	45.8	50.8	46.9	45.2	41.3	45.4	9.67	45.1	43.9	41.8	41.7	45.4	43.0
Total	1581	1683	1836	1352	6452	1638	2045	2126	1530	7339	1579	1872	1872 2169	1577	7197
% by Institution Size	24.5	26.1	28.4	21.0	21.0 100.0	22.3	27.9	29.0	20.8	100.0	21.9	26.0	30.1	21.9	100.0
			U. S.	Citizens	ens	6.6+	+26.7 +16.5 +15.9 +17.6	+16.5	+15.9	+17.6	-1.3	-9.3	+9.1	-9.3 +9.1 +11.7	+1.8
Changes, in % from year to year	to year		Foreig	Foreign Citizens	fzens	-3.1	+14.8 +15.0 +10.5 + 9.3	+15.0	+10.5	+ 9.3	7-9-	-7.3	-6.4	-7.3 -6.4 - 5.7	-6.5
			Total			+3.6	+21.5 +15.8 +13.2 +13.7	+15.8	+13.2	+13.7	-3.6	-8.5	+2.0	+3.1	-1.9

\*Measured by total PhD output across all fields.

contracting employment market. The same general trend is evident across universities of all sizes. The changes are gradual, for the most part, but uniform in direction.

The sources from which the postdoctorals came are shown in Table 5 by size of institution and academic year. Roughly one third in each academic year were held over from the previous year because their training program, or "apprenticeship period" as some prefer to call it, was incomplete. This percentage varies in a rather unsystematic fashion from one academic year to another and from one size category to another: from a low of 25.5% in the smallest institutions in 1969 to a high of 38.5% in the next-to-largest institutions in 1971. The number held over because of the lack of a suitable employment opportunity elsewhere is small, but increasing, from 1.4% in 1969 to 2.6% in 1970 to 4.8% in the current year. These percentages vary systematically by institution size, being lowest for the large institutions and highest for the smallest schools; all show similar increases from year to year.

Approximately 7% of the postdoctorals each year are new appointees from the institution's own recent PhD's. This percentage varies somewhat by institution-size category---apparently only in a random fashion---and tends to increase from year to year. Slightly over half (51.0%) of the postdoctorals were new appointees from outside the appointing department in 1969. This source has dropped in succeeding years to 48.5% in 1970 and to 44.3% this year. A little over 2.5% were characterized as being "other" in origin, chiefly by chairmen who objected to the phrase "because their training was incomplete." They were mostly 2-year appointees who were in their second year of residence at any given time. The origin of about 6% of the postdoctorals was not given on the questionnaires, varying slightly but unsystematically from year to year and by institution size.

Table 5
Sources of Postdoctorals at Responding Institutions
by Institution Size\*and Academic Year

Institution Size		Retained Because Training was Incomplete	Retained for Lack of Suitable Employment Elsewhere	New Postdoctorals From Own Department	New Postdoctorals From Outside Own Department	Other Sources	Un- known	Total Number
	<b>1969</b>	n 55 <b>9</b>	19	97	<b>7</b> 58	50	<b>9</b> 8	1581
10		35.4	1.2	6.1	47.9	3.2	6.2	100
Largest	19 <b>70</b>	N 568	28	111	790	45	96	1638
Instit.		<b>34.7</b>	1.7	6.8	48.2	2.7	5.9	100
-	19/1	N 564	47	96	706	57	109	1579
_	:	35.7	3.0	6.1	44.7	3.6	6.9	100
	<b>1969</b> 1	N 591	13	113	811	49	166	<b>16</b> 83
Next		35.1	0.8	6.7	48.2	2.9	6.3	100
15	1970 1	747	45	160	919	68	106	2045
Instit.	:	36.5	2.2	7.8	44.9	3.3	5.2	100
-	1971	721	92	134	<b>7</b> 5 <b>7</b>	79	89	1872
	:	<b>38.</b> 5	4.9	7.2	40.4	4.2	4.8	100
	1969 1	N 5 <b>98</b>	29	130	930	14	135	1836
Next	:	32.6	1.6	7.1	50.7	0.8	7.4	100
45	1970 1	713	60	148	1039	25	141	2126
Instit.	:	33.5	2.8	7.0	48.9	1.2	6.6	100
_	1971	N 743	109	148	998	26	145	2169
	2	<b>34.3</b>	5.0	6.8	46.0	1.2	6.7	100
	1969 1	n 345	30	84	789	49	55	1352
Remaining	;	25.5	2 <b>.2</b>	6.2	58.4	3.6	4.1	100
160	1970 1	435	55	91	809	50	90	1530
Instit.	;	28.4	3.6	5.9	52 <b>.9</b>	3.3	5.9	100
	1971 1	N 501	99	142	727	41	67	1577
		31.8	6.3	9.0	46.1	2.6	4.2	100
	1969 1	v 20 <b>93</b>	91	424	3288	162	394	6452
Total,	9	32.4	1.4	6.6	51.0	2.5	6.1	100
A11	19 <b>70</b> 1	N 24 <b>63</b>	188	510	3557	188	433	7339
Instit.	;	33.6	2.6	6.9	48.5	2.6	5.9	100
_	1971 1	v 25 <b>29</b>	347	520	3188	203	410	7197
	;	35.1	4.8	7.2	44.3	2.8	5.7	100

\*Measured by total PhD output across all fields.



#### Employment of Postdoctorals

Table 6 shows that, of the total of 6452 postdoctorals reported in residence in the responding departments in 1969, 3368 or 52.2% had left the department at the time the current questionnaires were returned. Of the 7339 in residence in 1970, 3364 or 45.8% had left, and of the 7197 in residence in 1971, 1082 or 15.0% had already left. The chief locus of employment was academic institutions. Of the postdoctorals of 1969 who had left, 53.9% went into academic employment; this percentage dropped slightly (to 52.9%) in 1970. Of the 1082 who have left during 1971, 45.3% went into academic jobs. The squeeze on academic budgets seems to be having an effect.

The second largest source of jobs for the former postdoctorals was "appropriate nonacademic positions." This category accounted for 20.6% of placements in 1969, 18.8% in 1970, and 17.8% in the current year. Further postdoctoral training elsewhere has accounted for an increasing proportion of placements, rising from 10.8% in 1969 to 14.0% in 1970 and 16.5% so far this year. These three categories combined---all in what can justifiably be considered suitable employment---account for 85.3% of the 1969 placements, 85.7% of the 1970 placements, but only 79.6% of those of the current year. This corresponds with other indications in comments made on last year's questionnaire and some this year. The departments reported last year that although placements of new PhD's were more difficult, they were being made, but that the difficulties were sharply increasing and that next year (1971) would be worse.

The number of postdoctorals reported to be unemployed and seeking employment, although small, rose sharply across the three years reported in this survey. There was also an increase in those reported unemployed but not seeking employment——a phenomenon that is also observed in the labor market for those of lesser skill levels. Some people withdraw from the labor market when it is difficult to find jobs, and re-enter later when opportunities are more plentiful. The unemployed (those seeking and not seeking jobs) were, respectively, 0.6% and 0.6% in 1969, 1.3% and 0.9% in 1970, and 4.4% and 0.9% in 1971. In addition, there was a small percentage in inappropriate work, increasing from 0.4% in 1969 to 0.9% in 1970 and 1.0% in 1971. Combining these groups——the unemployed and underemployed——we find the totals are 1.6% in 1969,



Table 6

Employment of Postdoctorals Who Have Left the Department,
by Year, by Institution Size\*, All Fields Combined

Category			arges		Next Inst	: 15 :ituti	ons	Next Inst	: 45 :ituti	ons		ining ituti			al, Al	
of Employment	ļ	AY	AY	AY	AY	AY	AY	AY	AY	AY	AY	AY	AY	AY	AY	AY
—————	+	<u>69</u>	70_	71	<u>69</u>	70	71	<u>69</u>	70	71	69_	70	71	69	70	71
Other Post- I	N	5 <b>6</b>	87	32	95	13 <b>6</b>	46	107	150	50	105	97	50	363	470	178
doctoral Training	% :	6.9	12.3	15.1	11.3	14.5	13.5	11.0	14.7	15.7	14.3	13.9	23.6	10.8	14.0	16.5 ———
	N	45 <b>6</b>	385	112	471	492	160	522	53 <b>6</b>	142	3 <b>66</b>	3 <b>6</b> 8	76	1815	1781	490
Academic Positions	%	55.8	54.2	52.8	56.1	52 <b>.6</b>	47.1	53.5	52 <b>.6</b>	44.7	49.8	52.6	35.8	53.9	52.9	45.3
	N	134	105	31	191	195	74	190	177	60	180	157	28	695	634	193
Nonacademic , Positions	%	16.4	14.8	14.6	22.7	20.9	21.8	19.5	17.4	18.9	24.5	22.4	13.2	20.6	18.8	17.8
	N ·	6	7	0	5	11	2	0	8	5	2	3	4	13	29	11
priate Work	%	0.7	1.0	0	0.6	1.2	0.6	0	0.8	1.6	0.3	0.4	1.9	0.4	0.9	1.0
Unemployed	N	6	4	8	5	10	10	6	15	8	4	15	22	21	44	48
and Seek- ing Work	%	0.7	0.6	3.8	0.6	1.1	2.9	0.6	1.5	2.5	0.5	2.1	10.4	0.6	1.3	4.4
Unemployed	N	7	4	1	5	12	5	5	8	4	3	5	0	20	2 <b>9</b>	10
Not Seek- ing Work	%	0.9	0.6	0.5	0.6	1.3	1.5	0.5	0.8	1.3	0.4	0.7	0	0.6	0.9	0.9
Unknown	N	152	118	28	<b>6</b> 8	79	43	146	125	49	75	55	32	441	377	1 <b>5</b> 2
Status	%	18.6	16.6	13.2	8.1	8.4	12.6	15.0	12.3	15.4	10.2	7.9	15.1	13.1	11.2	14.0
Total Who Have Left Department		817	710	212	840	935	340	976	1019	318	735	700	212	3368	3364	1082
Total in Residence, by Academic Year		1581	1638	1579	1683	2045	1872	1836	2126	2169	1 <b>35</b> 2	1530	1577	6452	<b>7</b> 33 <b>9</b>	7197
Percentage Who Have Left Department		51.7	<b>43.</b> 3	13.4	. 4 <b>9.</b> 9	45.7	18.2	53.2	47.9	14.7	54.4	45.8	13.4	52.2	45.8	15.0
	_		<del></del>		<u> </u>				<del></del>		L				4	

\*Measured by total PhD output across all fields.

3.1% in 1970, and 6.3% in 1971.

One additional category contained a sizable percentage of postdoctorals: those whose present status was unknown. This group comprised 13.1% of the 1969 postdoctorals, 11.2% of the 1970 postdoctorals, and 14.0% of those who had left postdoctoral positions during 1971.

Minor, but mostly unsystematic, differences occur in the various percentages in the categories of employment and unemployment of post-doctorals when the reports are analyzed in terms of institution size. A somewhat larger proportion of those from the largest institutions go into academic employment, and a somewhat smaller proportion into appropriate nonacademic positions. But the trends for the various types of employment are by no means uniformly correlated with size of institutions.

Field-to-field comparisons in Table 7 for 1970 and 1971, for U.S. citizens and foreign citizens combined, show few marked differences in employment patterns. Leaving the department to go to another postdoctoral appointment occurs somewhat more frequently in the biosciences than in the physical sciences. This may be partly a semantic difference, because the term may be used differently in different fields. The field differences found in academic vs. nonacademic employment follow the usual lines: chemistry and engineering report higher percentages than the other fields in nonacademic (largely industrial) employment. With respect to unemployment and inappropriate employment, there are not enough cases to provide stable differences among the fields. One possible exception is the "unemployed and not seeking" category, which is slightly, but unreliably, higher in the biosciences.

The 1971 data are sparse and afford less reliable field-to-field comparisons. By comparison with 1970, however, some year-to-year differences may be significant. The number entering other postdoctoral appointments in 1971 is up about two percentage points, more or less evenly across all fields. The number going into academic employment is lower; probably this is a seasonal fluctuation, as the data recorded here refer to the mid-winter period, before plans were firm for employment in the fall of 1971. The percentage whose status was unknown was slightly higher than for the 1970 former postdoctorals; the percentages in the various categories of unemployment and underemployment were too unstable to afford reliable comparisons among the several fields. For year-to-year comparisons, the field totals given in Table 6 are more significant.



Employment Status of Postdoctorals in Residence in Academic Years 1970 and 1971,
Who Have Left Their Departments, by Field of Specialization

Employment Category		Mathe- matics	Phy- sics	Chem-	Geo Sci- ences	Engi- neer- ing	EMP Total	Bio- Sci- ences	Psy- chol- ogy	Other Social Sciences	Total All Sciences
					Reside	nts in	' Acad <b>e</b> mi	ı c Year	1970		
Total Who Have Left	N	96	424	851	97	220	1688	1548	62	66	3364
Other Postdoctoral	N	7	62	114	7	18	208	257	3	2	470
Training	%	7.3	14.6	13.4	7.2	8.2	12.3	16.6	4.8	3.0	14.0
Regular	N	78	224	292	61	97	752	944	42	43	1781
Academic Jobs	%	81.3	52.8	34.3	62.9	44.1	44.5	61.0	67.7	65.2	52.9
Appropriate	N	1	68	243	20	63	395	221	5	13	634
Nonacademic Work	%	1.0	16.0	28.6	20.6	28.6	23.4	14.3	8.1	19.7	18.8
Inappropriate	N	0	3	8	2	1	14	10	1	4	29
<u>Work</u>	%	0.0	0.7	0.9	2.1	0.5	0.8	0.6	1.6	6.1	0.9
Unemployed and	N	0	11	18	0	2	31	12	1	0	44
Seeking Work	%	0.0	2.6	2.1	0.0	0.9	1.8	0.8	1.6	0.0	1.3
Unemployed, NOT	N	1	3	4	1	0	9	20	0	0	29
Seeking Work	%	1.0	0.7	0.5	1.0	0.0	0.5	1.3	0.0	0.0	0.9
Status Unknown	N	9	53	172	6	39	279	84	10	4	377
DUBLUS UNKNOWN	%	9.4	12.5	20.2	6.2	17.7	16.5	5.4	16.1	6.1	11.2
·					Residen	ts in A	l Academic	Year 1	.971		
Total Who Have Left	N	26	122	271	22	64	505	528	25	24	1082
Other Postdoctoral	N	2	18	46	2	5	73	98	4	3	178
Training	%	7.7	14.8	17.0	9.1	7.8	14.5	18.6	16.0	12.5	16.5
Regular	N	22	46	84	15	30	197	273	6	14	490
Academic Jobs	%	84.6	37.7	31.0	68.2	46.9	39.0	51.7	24.0	58.3	45.3
Appropriate	N	0	17	87	3	12	119	68	1	5	193
Nonacademic Work	%	0.0	13.9	32.1	13.6	18.8	23.6	12.9	4.0	20.8	17.8
Inappropriate	N	0	3	3	0	0	6	5	0	0	11
Work	7	0.0	2.5	1.1	0.0	0.0	1.2	0.9	0.0	0.0	1.0
Unemployed and	N	1	8	7	0	6	22	24	1	1	48
Seeking Work	%	3.8	6.6	2.6	0.0	9.4	4.4	4.5	4.0	4.2	4.4
Unemployed, NOT	N	0	1	0	0	0	1	9	0	0	10
Seeking Work	7	0.0	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	1.7	0.0	0.0	0.9
Status Unknown	N	1	29	44	2	11	87	51	13	1	152
Cacoo Olikilowii	Z	3.8	23.8	16.2	9.1	17.2	17.2	9.7	52.0	4.2	14.0



#### DISCUSSION

The departmental survey in mid-winter of 1970-71 revealed that 1.2% of the PhD's of 1970 in the fields surveyed were underemployed and 1.6% unemployed (both those seeking and those <u>not</u> seeking employment). Translating these percentages into numbers of individuals on a national basis, we estimate that about 225 PhD's of 1970 in the survey fields held jobs that did not utilize their graduate training and about 285 were unemployed. Comparable figures for the doctorate recipients of 1969 in the survey fields were about 110 (0.7%) underemployed and 145 (0.9%) unemployed. Thus the current incidence of underemployment and unemployment was about twice as great for the 1970 graduates as for those of 1969, presumably reflecting both the tightening of the market and the passage of an additional year in the search for jobs by the 1969 graduates.

To separate these effects, we note that in <u>last</u> year's survey, made at a comparable time in the employment search by the PhD's of 1969, 0.7% of the 1969 graduates in the same fields (compared to 1.2% of the 1970 graduates this year) were reported to be underemployed and 1.1% (compared to 1.6%) to be unemployed. The results of the two surveys thus indicate that the 1970 graduates did indeed encounter a more constricted market and also suggest that the percentages of the underemployed and unemployed 1969 graduates did not change greatly over the course of the year 1969-70.

All fields reported greater difficulties from 1968 to 1970 in placing their graduates, but field-to-field differences were generally not large. Physics provided an exception: the percentages of underemployed and unemployed among the 1970 graduates in physics were both almost twice those percentages for all sciences. The percentage of unemployed 1970 PhD's in physics (2.9%) agrees, within the limitations of such surveys, with the figure of 4% reported in a separate survey conducted by the American Physical Society. Comparable data for new PhD's in other fields have not come to our attention.

The data presented here do not reveal the extent to which recent recipients of the doctorate have found employment as the result of the displacement of older doctoral scientists and engineers. Information derived from a survey in one field indicates that, although such a process is not planned, it occurs to a significant extent. Older, higher-salaried people who have lost their jobs are finding that they compete



at a disadvantage with new people, fresh from graduate training and willing to work at lower salaries. Comprehensive data about the employment status of a large sample of doctoral scientists and engineers—including both new PhD's and older people——is now available as a result of a survey conducted by the National Science Foundation in the spring of 1971.

As to the situations of the postdoctorals, we estimate that, on a <u>national</u> basis, about 130 postdoctorals in residence in 1969 in all survey fields (1.4%) were retained in their postdoctoral departments for lack of suitable opportunity elsewhere, 265 (2.6%) of those of 1970, and---as of mid-winter---490 (4.8%) of the postdoctorals of 1971. Thus the number and percentage roughly doubled from year to year.

Of those postdoctorals who <u>left</u> their postdoctoral departments, again estimated on a national basis, about 20 (0.4%) of the postdoctorals of 1969 were underemployed, as were 40 (0.9%) of those of 1970 and 15 (1.0%) of those of 1971. Unemployed postdoctorals (both those seeking jobs and those not seeking them) included about 60 (1.2%) of the postdoctorals of 1969, 105 (2.2%) of those of 1970, and 80 (5.3%) of those of 1971. The numbers and percentages of the underemployed and unemployed roughly doubled from 1969 to 1970. The data for 1971 so far showed that the percentage of the unemployed had more than doubled, but that of the underemployed was almost the same.

Since the survey was synoptic and the categories within each level (doctoral and postdoctoral) were defined as mutually exclusive, we may gather up the foregoing numbers with only a small probability of overlap. Of the PhD's of 1969 and 1970, and the postdoctorals of 1969, 1970, and 1971 in the sciences and engineering, on a national basis, about 400 persons were underemployed, 675 were unemployed, and 880 were postdoctorals in a "holding pattern." To provide a backdrop for these figures, we remind the reader that the total number of doctorates awarded in the fields surveyed was 14,664 in 1968, 16,338 in 1969, and 18,252 in 1970.

Finally, Table 3 indicates that significant percentages of graduates who are U.S. nationals left the country after receiving their doctorates for reasons other than postdoctoral training. The trend is undoubtedly affected by the tightness of the job market---and may indeed constitute a "reverse brain drain"---but we are unable to throw more light on it as a result of this survey. It deserves further study.

#### SUMMARY

The evidence of the departmental survey of 1971 is that it was more difficult for the doctorate recipients and postdoctorals of 1970 in the sciences and engineering to obtain satisfactory jobs than for those of 1969. Underemployment and unemployment both about doubled from 1969 to 1970. Both also affected a small fraction of the total number of new PhD's in these fields. In spite of the emergence of large numbers of new doctorate recipients each year---with percentage annual increases averaging 12%---the economy has found room for almost all recent recipients of the doctorate. This is perhaps the most significant result of the survey. Various market accommodations are undoubtedly responsible for preventing what would otherwise be a gross oversupply.

Any deficit of jobs must nevertheless be a matter of national concern, and reliance solely on market adjustments to an oversupply would be both callous and unwise. The large national investment in graduate education and the valuable human resources at stake demand that any involuntary underemployment or unemployemnt of doctorate recipients be corrected. Good planning and monitoring are essential for adequate remedial action. The National Board on Graduate Education, which has recently been appointed by the Conference Board of Associated Research Councils, is expected to take as a task of high priority the assessment of long-term national demand and supply of PhD's in all fields as the first step in the many institutional adjustments needed to maintain balance between the two.



#### Footnotes

- 1. Office of Scientific Personnel, Summary Report 1970: Doctorate

  Recipients from United States Universities, National Research
  Council, Washington, D.C., 1971.
- Office of Scientific Personnel, "Employment Status of Recent Recipients of the Doctorate," <u>Science</u>, Vol. 168, May 22, 1970, pp. 930-939.
- 3. The Survey of Earned Doctorates is conducted annually by the Office of Scientific Personnel of the National Research Council with the assistance of graduate deans and the doctorate recipients themselves. The Survey gathers information by means of a questionnaire form that is filled out by the graduates just as they complete all requirements for their doctoral degrees. The data concerning post-graduation plans have been checked by follow-up studies and found to be reliably predictive; they are not, of course, the equivalent of reports after the fact.
- 4. The Invisible University: Postdoctoral Education in the United States, National Academy of Sciences, Washington, D.C., 1969.
- 5. loc. cit.
- 6. Lee Grodzins, "The Manpower Crisis in Physics," American Physical Society Bulletin, Series II, Vol. 16, No. 6, June, 1971, pp. 736-749.
- 7. Lee Grodzins, loc. cit.
- 8. National Science Foundation, "Unemployment Rates for Scientists, Spring 1971," Science Resources Studies Highlights, July 2, 1971.

### THE QUESTIONNAIRE

with Definition and Instruction Sheet



#### NATIONAL RESEARCH COUNCIL

Please answer the following questions concerning the be counted only once by an institution: please confer with status of all those who have recently received earned research doctorates (excluding professional doctorates such as M.D., D.V.M., and D.D.S.) in your department, and regarding your recent postdoctorals. Individuals who received their degrees in interdisciplinary programs should

the other departments cooperating in such programs to accomplish this. Medical schools with postdoctorals but not granting the Ph.D. should answer only the second page of this form.

1	S INFORMATION WILL BE TREATED	AS PRI	VILEGED					1 1	NSE DO	COLU	<u>un</u>	
	GRADUATE STUDENTS (See definitions on accompanying)		)	1968 1969	- 1	196 197		1970 1971	10	1-10		
	(Occ deminions on accompany)	U.S.	Full-time		$\dashv$				1			
١.	How many new entrants to the first year of graduate study en-	Citi- zens	students Part-time						11-13	14	-16	17-19
	rolled in your department in each academic year, by citizenship	Foreign			$\dashv$				20-22	23	1-25	26-28
	and part-vs-full-time status?	Citi- zens	Part-time students		1	_			29-31	32	34	35-37
			Students	Ace	dor	nic V	ear En	l	38-40	41	-43	44-46
				June				ne 1970				
	EMPLOYMENT OF NEW PH	D'S		U.S.	F	or'n it.	U.S. Cit.					
2.	How many PhD's (or equivalent) were department in each academic year, by	granted citizens	in your									
	Of these new graduates, how many		-						47-8	49-50	51-2	53-4
	aare currently employed in the activities which appropriately utiliz training?	United S e their s	graduate						55-6	57-8	59-60	61-2
r.	(Appropriate work is interpreted to inc research staff appointment in any university college, a research or research adminis industry, government or elsewhere, or any	v. college.	, or junior							-		_
	industry, government or elsewhere; or any tion which was the deliberate choice of t	protession he gradua	onai posi- ate.)						63		04	
	bare in military service?	• • • • • • •		<u> </u>					10	1-10		
	care employed in the U.S. in po NOT utilize their graduate training	sitions v ?	vhich do	ļ	_				11-2	13-4	15-6	17-
	dhave left the United States for											
	doctoral training?								19-20	21-2	23-4	25-
	eare unemployed and NOT seeki	ng empl	oyment?			_	<del> </del>		27-8	29-30	31-2	33-
	f are unemployed and seeking en	nployme	nt?	<u> </u>	-			_	35-6	37-8	39-40	41-2
	gare in postdoctoral training?	•••••	• • • • • • • •	<u> </u>	$\vdash$	_			43-4	45-6	47-8	49-5
	hare there whose present status i		vn?		-		-		51-2	53-4	55-6	57-
3.	(Items a through h should total to Of the postdoctorals in (g), how many	are now										
	Ing in your own department?			-	<del>                                     </del>		<del>                                     </del>		59-60	61-2	63-4	65-
4.	Of those in (3), how many are simple because they have been unable to work elsewhere?	propri <b>ate</b>										

Please turn the page for items about postdoctorals



June 70 June 77 June 7			1	Acad		Year	Enging	,							
5. How many postdoctorals from all sources were in residence in your department during each academic year?  Of these, how many.  awere retained from previous academic year because their training was incomplete?  Description of a lack of suitable employment elsewhere?  Cwere new postdoctorals from your own dept.?  dwere new postdoctorals from your own dept.?  dwere new postdoctorals from your own dept.?  dwere new postdoctorals from utside your department?  EMPLOYMENT OF POSTDOCTORALS  6. How many of those postdoctorals who were in residence in your department?  Car now employed in academic year, have left your department each academic year, have left your department?  Car now in postdoctoral training elsewhere?  Dar now employed in academic positions?  Car now employed in appropriate nonacademic positions?  Car now employed in appropriate nonacademic positions?  Car now UNEMPLOYED and Seeking work?  (see definition in 2a)  Ear now UNEMPLOYED and NOT seeking work?  Car now UNEMPLOYED and NOT seeking work?  Car now united your department is currently relating postdoctorals for lack of suitable opportunity, are you defining a should sum to line 6)  7. If your department is currently relating postdoctorals for lack of suitable opportunity, are you defining a should sum to line 6)  7. If your department is currently relating postdoctorals for lack of suitable opportunity, are you defining a should sum to line 6)  RESEARCH SUPPORT FOR FACILITY  8. How many recent appointees (appointed within the last two years) to your faculty have sought and been unable to secure in initial research—support grain?  9. How many members of your continuing faculty who had previously had research support.  8. How many members of your continuing faculty who had previously had research support.  8. How many members of your continuing faculty who had previously had research support.  8. How many members of your continuing faculty who had previously had research support.  8. How many full-lime equiv				1	1	1	1	1							
year? Of these, how many a were retained from previous academic year because their training was incomplete? where retained for lack of suitable employment elsewhere? were retained for lack of suitable employment elsewhere? were new postdoctorals from your own dep1.7 d were new postdoctorals from outside your department? were in some other category? (Please specify) (a through e should sum to line 6) were retained for POSTDOCTORALS 6. How many of those postdoctorals who were in residence in your department in each academic year, have left your department in each academic positions? are now employed in appropriate nonacademic positions?	5.	How many postdoctorals from all sources were in residence in your department during each academic	Cit.	Cit.	Cit.	Cit.	Cit.	Cit.	ID	1-10					
Of these, how many  awere retained for lack of suitable employment elsewhere?  cwere new postdoctorals from your own dep*1.?  dwere new postdoctorals from outside your department?  dwere new postdoctorals from outside your department?  dwere new postdoctorals from outside your department?  dwere in some other category? (Please specify)  (a through e should sum to line 5)  EMPLOYMENT OF POSTDOCTORALS  6. How many of those postdoctorals who were in residence in your department in each academic year, have left your department in each academic positions?  dare now employed in appropriate nonacademic positions?  dare now employed in appropriate nonacademic positions?  dare now employed in appropriate nonacademic positions?  dare now UNEMPLOVED and seeking work?  fare now UNEMPLOVED and seeking work?  gare there whose present status is unknown?  (a through a should sum to line 6)  7. If your department is currently retaining postdoctorals for lack of suitable opportunity, are you defraying their silpends from (check as many as apply) research grants It raining grants university fellowship funds it teaching staff funds deferral of equipment expenditures jother sources (please specify)  RESEARCH SUPPORT FOR FACULTY  8. How many recent appointees (appointed within the last two years) to your faculty have sough and been unable to secure an initial research-support grant?  J. university fellowship funds it teaching staff funds deferral of equipment expenditures jother sources (please specify)  10. How many recent appointees (appointed within the last two years) to your faculty have sough and been unable to secure an initial research-support grant?  J. How many full-time equivalent) faculty members do you have at present?  J. How many full-time equivalent is faculty who had previously had research support.						<u> </u>			11-2	13-4	15-6	17-8	19-20	21.2	ł
bwere retained for lack of sultable employment elsewhere?  cwere new postdoctorals from your own dep't.?  dwere new postdoctorals from outside your department?  dwere new postdoctorals from outside your department?  dwere new postdoctorals from outside your department?  dwere in some other category? (Please specify)  (a through a should sum to line 5)  EMPLOYMENT OF POSTDOCTORALS  6. How many of those postdoctorals who were in residence in your department in each exademic year, have left your department in each exademic year, have left your department?  Dare now employed in academic positions?  care now employed in academic positions?  dare now employed in academic positions?  (see definition in 2s)  eare now UNEMPLOYED and SOT seeking work?  gare there whose present status is unknown?  (a through g should sum to line 9  71. If your department is currently retaining postdoctorals for lack of suitable opportunity, are you defraying their stippends from (check as many as apply) research grants; university fellowship funds; teaching staff funds; deferral of equipment expenditures; other sources (please specify)  RESEARCH SUPPORT FOR FACULTY  8. How many recent appointees (appointed within the last two years) to your faculty have sought and been unable to secure an initial research-support grant?  Please feel free to add comments on a separate sheet which may be stached to this report.		Of these, how many								•••					
elsewhere?  Cwere new postdoctorals from your own dep't.?  dwere new postdoctorals from outside your department?  ewere in some other category? (Please specify)  (a through a should sum to line 5)  EMPLOYMENT OF POSTDOCTORALS  6. How many of those postdoctorals who were in real- elet your department? (Enter total number who have left).  Of these, how many  aare now in postdoctoral training elsewhere?  bare now employed in academic positions?  dare now employed in appropriate nonacademic positions?  dare now employed in inappropriate nonacademic positions?  dare now UNEMPLOYED and seeking work?  gare now UNEMPLOYED and Seeking work?  fare now UNEMPLOYED and Seeking work?  gare there whose present status is unknown?  (a through g should sum to line 6)  RESEARCH SUPPORT FOR FACULTY  8. How many recent appointees (appointed within the last two years) to your faculty have sought and been unable to secure an initial research-support grant?  Please feet free to add comments on a separate sheet which may be attached to this report.		awere retained from previous academic year because their training was incomplete?		_			_	<u> </u>	23-4	25-6	27-8	29-30	31-2	33-4	
cwere new postdoctorals from your own dep*1.?  dwere new postdoctorals from outside your department?  ewere in some other category? (Please specify)  (a through a should sum to line 5)  EMPLOTYMENT OF POSTDOCTORALS  6. How many of those postdoctorals who were in residence in your department in each academic year, have left your department in each academic year, have left your department in each academic year, have left your department?  aare now in postdoctorals raining elsewhere?  bare now employed in academic positions?  dare now employed in appropriate nonacademic positions?  dare now employed in inNAPPROPRIATE WORK?  (see definition in 2a)  eare now UNEMPLOYED and NOT seeking work?  fare now UNEMPLOYED and NOT seeking work?  gare there whose present status is unknown?  (a through a should sum to line 6)  71 If your department is currently retaining postdoctorals for lack of sultable opportunity, are you defraying their stilpends from (check as many as apply) research grants; training grants; university fellowship funds; teaching staff funds; deferral of equipment expenditures; to ther sources (please specify)  RESEARCH SUPPORT FOR FACULTY  8. How many recent appointees (appointed within the last two years) to your faculty have sought and been unable to secure an initial research-support grant?  Please feel free to add comments on a separate sheet which may be attached to this report.					·				25.0	07.0	20.40	410	42.4	45.6	
ewere in some other category? (Please specify)  (a through e should sum to line 5)  EMPLOYMENT OF POSTDOCTORALS  6. How many of those postdoctorals who were in residence in your department? (Enter total number who have left your department? (Enter total number who have left)		cwere new postdoctorals from your own dep't.?		_			_	_	47-8				····		
ewere in some other category? (Please specify)  (a through e should sum to line 5)  EMPLOYMENT OF POSTDOCTORALS  6. How many of those postdoctorals who were in residence in your department in each academic year, have left your department? (Enter total number who have left)  Of thesis, how many  aaen now in postdoctoral training elsewhere?  Daer now employed in academic positions?  dare now employed in appropriate nonacademic positions?  dare now employed in appropriate nonacademic positions?  dare now UNEMPLOYED and Seeking work?  gare now UNEMPLOYED and NOT seeking work?  gare there whose present status is unknown?  (a through g should sum to line 6)  7. If your department is currently reliahing postdoctorals for lack of suitable opportunity, are you defraying their stipends from (check as many as apply) research grants; training grants; university fellowship funds; teaching staff funds; teaching staff funds; training grants; university fellowship funds; teaching staff funds; training grants; university fellowship funds; teaching staff funds; teaching staff funds; training grants; university fellowship funds; teaching staff funds; training grants; training grants; university fellowship funds; teaching staff funds; teaching staff funds; training grants; training gran															
(a through e should sum to line 5)  EMPLOYMENT OF POSTDOCTORALS  6. How many of those postdoctorals who were in residence in your department in each academic year, have left your department in each academic year, have left your department? (Enter total number who have left)  Of these, how many  aare now in postdoctoral training elsewhere?  bare now employed in academic positions?  Care now employed in appropriate nonacademic positions?  dare now employed in inAPPROPRIATE WORK? (see definition in 2a)  eare now UNEMPLOYED and seeking work?  fare now UNEMPLOYED and seeking work?  gare there whose present status is unknown?  (a through g should sum to line 6)  7. If your department is currently retaining postdoctorals for lack of suitable opportunity, and the surrently retaining postdoctorals for lack of suitable opportunity, and the surrently retaining postdoctorals for lack of suitable opportunity, and the surrently retaining postdoctorals for lack of suitable opportunity, and the surrently retaining postdoctorals for lack of suitable opportunity, and the surrently retaining postdoctorals for lack of suitable opportunity, and the surrently retaining postdoctorals for lack of suitable opportunity, and the surrently retaining postdoctorals for lack of suitable opportunity, and the surrently retaining postdoctorals for lack of suitable opportunity, and the surrently retaining postdoctorals for lack of suitable opportunity, and the surrently retaining postdoctorals for lack of suitable opportunity, and the surrently retaining postdoctorals for lack of suitable opportunity, and the surrently retaining postdoctorals for lack of suitable opportunity, and the surrently retaining postdoctorals for lack of suitable opportunity, and the surrently retaining postdoctorals for lack of suitable opportunity, and the surrently retaining postdoctorals for lack of suitable opportunity, and the surrently surrently for the surrently surrently for the surrently surrently for		ewere in some other category? (Please													3
6. How many of those postdoctorals who were in residence in your department in each academic year, have left your department? (Enter total number who have left)  Of these, how many aare now in postdoctoral training elsewhere?  bare now employed in academic positions?  care now employed in appropriate nonacademic positions?  dare now employed in INAPPROPRIATE WORK? (see definition in 2s)  eare now UNEMPLOYED and NOT seeking work?  fare now UNEMPLOYED and NOT seeking work? (a through g should sum to line 6)  7. If your department is currently retaining postdoctorals for lack of suitable opportunity, are you defraying their stipends from (check as many as apply) research grants; university fellowship funds; teaching staff funds; deferral of equipment expenditures; other sources (please specify)  RESEARCH SUPPORT FOR FACULTY  8. How many recent appointees (appointed within the last two years) to your faculty have sought and been unable to secure an initial research-support grant?  Please feel free to add comments on a separate sheet which may be attached to this report.		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •							71	72	73	74	75	76	80
dence in your department in each academic year, have left your department? (Enter total number who have left)  Of these, how many a are now in postdoctoral training elsewhere? b are now employed in academic positions?  c are now employed in appropriate nonacademic positions?  d are now employed in INAPPROPRIATE WORK? (see definition in 2s) e are now UNEMPLOYED and seeking work? f are now UNEMPLOYED and NOT seeking work? g are there whose present status is unknown? (a through g should sum to line 6) 7. If your department is currently retaining postdoctorals for lack of suitable opportunity, are you defraying their stipends from (check as many as apply) research grants; triaining grants; university fellowship funds; teaching staff funds; deferral of equipment expenditures; other sources (please specify)  RESEARCH SUPPORT FOR FACULTY  8. How many recent appointees (appointed within the last two years) to your faculty have sought and been unable to secure an initial research-support grant?  Please feel free to add comments on a separate sheet which may be attached to this report.  Signed		EMPLOYMENT OF POSTDOCTORALS		İ	ļ		}	Ì							
Of thesis, how many  aare now in postdoctoral training elsewhere?  bare now employed in academic positions?  care now employed in appropriate nonacademic positions?  dare now employed in INAPPROPRIATE WORK?  (see definition in 2a)  eare now UNEMPLOYED and NOT seeking work?  fare now UNEMPLOYED and NOT seeking work?  (a through g should sum to line 6)  7. If your department is currently retaining postdoctorals for lack of suitable opportunity, are you defraying their stipends from (check as many as apply) research grants; training grants; university fellowship funds; teaching staff funds; deferral of equipment expenditures; other sources (please specify)  RESEARCH SUPPORT FOR FACULTY  8. How many recent appointees (appointed within the last two years) to your faculty have sought and been unable to secure an initial research-support grant?  Please feel free to add comments on a separate sheet which may be attached to this report.  Signed		dence in your department in each academic year, have left your department? (Enter total number who						_		1	ı <u> </u>	<b>.</b>	ı <u> </u>	1	
aare now in postdoctoral training elsewhere?  bare now employed in appropriate nonacademic positions?  care now employed in appropriate nonacademic positions?  dare now employed in INAPPROPRIATE WORK? (see definition in 2a)  eare now UNEMPLOYED and seeking work?  fare now UNEMPLOYED and NOT seeking work?  (a through g should sum to line 6)  7. If your department is currently retaining postdoctorals for lack of suitable opportunity, are you defraying their stipends from (check as many as apply) research grants; training grants; university fellowship funds; teaching staff funds; deferral of equipment expenditures; other sources (please specify)  RESEARCH SUPPORT FOR FACULTY  8. How many recent appointees (appointed within the last two years) to your faculty have sought and been unable to secure an initial research-support grant?  9. How many members of your continuing faculty who had previously had research support sought and been unable to secure an initial research-support grant?  9. How many members of your continuing faculty who had previously had research support sought and been unable to secure an initial research-support grant?  9. How many members of your continuing faculty who had previously had research support sought and been unable to secure an initial research-support grant?  10. How many (full-time equivalent) faculty members do you have at present?  Please feel free to add comments on a separate sheet which may be attached to this report.									11-2	13-4	15-6	17-8	19-20	21-2	
bare now employed in academic positions?		•		<u> </u>		<u> </u>								00.4	
care now employed in appropriate nonacademic positions?  dare now employed in INAPPROPRIATE WORK? (see definition in 2a)  eare now UNEMPLOYED and seeking work?  fare now UNEMPLOYED and NOT seeking work?  gare there whose present status is unknown?  (a through g should sum to line 6)  7. If your department is currently retaining postdoctorals for lack of suitable opportunity, are you defraying their stipends from (check as many as apply) research grants; training grants; university fellowship funds; teaching staff funds; deferral of equipment expenditures; other sources (please specify)  RESEARCH SUPPORT FOR FACULTY  8. How many recent appointees (appointed within the last two years) to your faculty have sought and been unable to secure an initial research-support grant?  9. How many members of your continuing faculty who had previously had research support have lost it and are now without it?  Please feel free to add comments on a separate sheet which may be attached to this report.  Signed									23-4	25-6	27-8	29-30	31-2	33-4	
dare now employed in INAPPROPRIATE WORK? (see definition in 2a)  eare now UNEMPLOYED and seeking work?  fare now UNEMPLOYED and NOT seeking work?  gare there whose present status is unknown?  (a through g should sum to line 6)  7. If your department is currently retaining postdoctorals for lack of suitable opportunity, are you defraying their stipends from (check as many as apply) research grants; training grants; university fellowship funds; teaching staff funds; deferral of equipment expenditures; other sources (please specify)  RESEARCH SUPPORT FOR FACULTY  8. How many recent appointees (appointed within the last two years) to your faculty have sought and been unable to secure an initial research-support grant?  9. How many members of your continuing faculty who had previously had research support have lost it and are now without it?  Please feel free to add comments on a separate sheet which may be attached to this report.  Signed Department		care now employed in appropriate nonacademic							35-6	37-8	39-40	41-2	43-4	45-6	
(see definition in 2a)  eare now UNEMPLOYED and seeking work?  fare now UNEMPLOYED and NOT seeking work?  gare there whose present status is unknown?  (a through g should sum to line 6)  7. If your department is currently retaining postdoctorals for lack of suitable opportunity, are you defraying their stipends from (check as many as apply) research grants; training grants; university fellowship funds; teaching staff funds; deferral of equipment expenditures; other sources (please specify)  RESEARCH SUPPORT FOR FACULTY  8. How many recent appointees (appointed within the last two years) to your faculty have sought and been unable to secure an initial research-support grant?  9. How many members of your continuing faculty who had previously had research support have lost it and are now without it?  10. How many (full-time equivalent) faculty members do you have at present?  Please feel free to add comments on a separate sheet which may be attached to this report.  Title Department  Title Department		positions?		1					47-8	49-50	51-2	53-4	55-6	57-8	1
fare now UNEMPLOYED and NOT seeking work?  gare there whose present status is unknown?  (a through g should sum to line 6)  7. If your department is currently retaining postdoctorals for lack of suitable opportunity, are you defraying their stipends from (check as many as apply) research grants; training grants; university fellowship funds; teaching staff funds; deferral of equipment expenditures; other sources (please specify)  RESEARCH SUPPORT FOR FACULTY  8. How many recent appointees (appointed within the last two years) to your faculty have sought and been unable to secure an initial research-support grant?  9. How many members of your continuing faculty who had previously had research support have lost it and are now without it?  10. How many (full-time equivalent) faculty members do you have at present?  Please feel free to add comments on a separate sheet which may be attached to this report.  Signed Department  Department			-	-			·	<del>                                     </del>			63-4	65-6	67-8	62-70	80
fare now UNEMPLOYED and NOT seeking work?  gare there whose present status is unknown?  (a through g should sum to line 6)  7. If your department is currently retaining postdoctorals for lack of suitable opportunity, are you defraying their stipends from (check as many as apply) research grants; training grants; university fellowship funds; teaching staff funds; deferral of equipment expenditures; other sources (please specify)  RESEARCH SUPPORT FOR FACULTY  8. How many recent appointees (appointed within the last two years) to your faculty have sought and been unable to secure an initial research-support grant?  9. How many members of your continuing faculty who had previously had research support have lost it and are now without it?  10. How many (full-time equivalent) faculty members do you have at present?  Please feel free to add comments on a separate sheet which may be attached to this report.  Signed		eare now UNEMPLOYED and seeking work?		-	-	<u> </u>	ļ		11-2	12.4	16.6	17.8	19-20	21-2	┨
(a through g should sum to line 6)  7. If your department is currently retaining postdoctorals for lack of suitable opportunity, are you defraying their stipends from (check as many as apply) research grants; training grants; university fellowship funds; teaching staff funds; deferral of equipment expenditures; other sources (please specify)		fare now UNEMPLOYED and NOT seeking work?		-	-	<u> </u>					1		31-2	33-4	
7. If your department is currently retaining postdoctorals for lack of suitable opportunity, are you defraying their stipends from (check as many as apply) research grants; training grants; university fellowship funds; teaching staff funds; deferral of equipment expenditures; other sources (please specify)				<u> </u>		<u>l</u> -	<u> </u>		35-6	37-8	39-40	41-2	43-4	45-8	
grants; university fellowship funds; teaching staff funds; deferral of equipment expenditures; other sources (please specify)	7.	If your department is currently retaining postdoctorals for	or lack	of su	itable	орро	rtunit	y, are				•			
RESEARCH SUPPORT FOR FACULTY  8. How many recent appointees (appointed within the last two years) to your faculty have sought and been unable to secure an initial research-support grant?  9. How many members of your continuing faculty who had previously had research support have lost it and are now without it?  10. How many (full-time equivalent) faculty members do you have at present?  Please feel free to add comments on a separate sheet which may be attached to this report.  Signed  Department  Department		you defraying their stipends from (check as many as app	oly) re	searci	n grar	its	; tra	aining	'	47	48	49	50		
8. How many recent appointees (appointed within the last two years) to your faculty have sought and been unable to secure an initial research-support grant?  9. How many members of your continuing faculty who had previously had research support have lost it and are now without it?  10. How many (full-time equivalent) faculty members do you have at present?  Please feel free to add comments on a separate sheet which may be attached to this report.  Signed  Date  Department  Department		ment expenditures; other sources (please specify)		nus			_		'	51	52	53	- 54		
9. How many members of your continuing faculty who had previously had research support have lost it and are now without it?		RESEARCH SUPPORT FOR FAC	ULTY												
9. How many members of your continuing faculty who had previously had research support have lost it and are now without it?  10. How many (full-time equivalent) faculty members do you have at present?  Please feel free to add comments on a separate sheet which may be attached to this report.  Signed  Date  Department  Department	8.	How many recent appointees (appointed within the las sought and been unable to secure an initial research-su	t two	years) grant	to y	our fa	culty	have		55-6	•			_	
10. How many (full-time equivalent) faculty members do you have at present?  Please feel free to add comments on a separate sheet which may be attached to this report.  Signed Date  Title Department	9.	How many members of your continuing faculty who had						pport		57-8	59-60	61-82	63	-64	80
Please feel free to add comments on a separate sheet which may be attached to this report.  Signed Date  Title Department	10		i have	at pre	sent?	,									
Title Department	10.	-													
Title Department	gi-	ned		_ Dat	le				_						
	_														
Institution	Titl	9 Depai	rtment_												
	ins	itution													

Please return this questionnaire as soon as possible in the accompanying self-addressed envelope to the Manpower Studies Branch, Office of Scientific Personnel, National Research Council, 2101 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20418.



#### DEFINITIONS AND INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETION OF EMPLOYMENT SURVEY

#### **GRADUATE STUDENTS**

"New entrants for the first year of graduate study" means those new students to your department who, at the time of enrollment, had completed less than one year of graduate study. Students with more than one year of graduate study are considered to be beyond the "first year" status, even though it is the first time enrolled in your department. Graduate students who enroll later than the fall of a given year, but are in residence for the major portion of the academic year are counted as if they had enrolled in the fall, for each academic year indicated.

#### **EMPLOYMENT OF NEW PhD's**

Please note the distinction between *employment* and postdoctoral *training* (see definition below). For the purpose of this report, a person in postdoctoral training is not considered to be "employed." Please enter each individual *only once*, in the most appropriate category. If two categories are judged equally appropriate, use the first one on the list. The sum of categories a through h should equal the top line of Item 2.

#### **POSTDOCTORALS**

This term has been used in many ways. The meaning intended here is that used in the NAS-NRC publication The Invisible University: Postdoctoral Education in the United States, in which the postdoctoral is defined as one holding an

"appointment of a temporary nature at the postdoctoral level . . . intended to offer an opportunity for continued education and experience in research, usually, though not necessarily, under the supervision of a senior mentor. The appointee may have a research doctorate (e.g. PhD, ScD) or professional doctorate (e.g. MD, DVM) or other qualifications which are considered equivalent in the circumstances. A person may have more than one postdoctoral appointment during his career."

#### **EMPLOYMENT OF POSTDOCTORALS**

Item 6 refers back to Item 5, and therefore should not have a larger number than the first line of Item 5. In accordance with the definition of postdoctoral above, a distinction is made in the questionnaire between regular employment (Items 6b, 6c, 6d) and postdoctoral training (Item 6a) which is intended as a shorthand term for the definition of postdoctoral appointment given above. The sub-categories of Item 6 (a through g) are intended to be mutually exclusive, or non-overlapping. Each individual should be counted only once, in the category that best describes his present status.

